Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAKENZIE PAULY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STANFORD HEALTH CARE,

Defendant.

Case No. 18-cv-05387-SI

ORDER SCHEDULING MAY 21, 2021 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

On August 31, 2018, plaintiffs Makenzie and Fazia Pauly, pro se, filed a complaint against defendant Stanford Health Care alleging ten causes of action arising from defendant's alleged failure to properly screen ten-year-old Makenzie Pauly after an exploratory laparoscopic surgery and appendectomy. Dkt. No. 1. On September 27, 2018, defendant filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. No. 8. On April 19, 2019, the Court granted in part and denied in part defendant's motion to dismiss and ruled accordingly:

> First - Fifth Causes of Action (EMTALA - Fazia Pauly & Makenzie Pauly)

- --GRANTED with prejudice as to Fazia Pauly
- --DENIED as to Makenzie Pauly

Sixth Cause of Action (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress -Makenzie Pauly)

--GRANTED with prejudice

Seventh - Ninth Causes of Action (NIED and IIED - Fazia Pauly)

--GRANTED with prejudice

Tenth Cause of Action (Abuse of Process – Fazia Pauly &

¹ The complaint asserted the following: 1st-5th causes of action for violation of Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act ("EMTALA"); 6th cause of action for Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress ("NIED"); 7th-9th cause of action for NIED and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ("IIED"); and 10th cause of action for abuse of process. Dkt. No. 1 at 9-26.

United States District Court Northern District of California

1 2	Makenzie Pauly)GRANTED with prejudice as to Fazia PaulyGRANTED without prejudice as to Makenzie Pauly		
3	Dkt. No. 32 at 8. The Court ordered any amended complaint to be filed by May 3, 2019. <i>Id.</i> On		
4	March 10, 2020, the Ninth Circuit affirmed the Court's Order Denying in Part and Granting in Part		
5	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 53.		
6	6 Since the Ninth Circuit's order, plaintiffs have not filed an amended complaint a	and defendant	
7	7 has not filed any motion. Accordingly, the Court hereby orders the parties to a	attend a case	
8	8 management conference on May 21, 2021. The parties will submit a joint case	management	
9	9 statement no later than May 14, 2021.	statement no later than May 14, 2021.	
10	0		
11	1		
12	2 IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	3 Dated: May 6, 2021		
14			
15	5 SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge		
16	6		
17	7		
18	8		
19	9		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
40	٠٥		